

CONFIDENTIAL

AGENDA FOR

COM-24

MEETING OF DEPUTIES OF THE PRINCIPALS

September 5, 1962

1. Is there agreement on the draft paper's analysis of the "threat" from bombardment satellites?
2. Would a measure without initial provision for pre-launch inspection be acceptable if the U.S. reserved the right to seek further assurance at a future time?
3. Should the U.S. be willing to provide advance notification?
4. What tactical approach should the U.S. adopt?
 - a. Should the U.S. raise the question of a separate measure or merely respond to proposals of others?
 - b. If the U.S. should raise the question, should private discussions with the Soviet Union be undertaken first?
 - c. If the U.S. should merely respond to proposals made by others, should the response be in the form of a unilateral statement of intentions or an expression of willingness to reach agreement (on terms acceptable to the U.S.)?

In the case of a unilateral statement, what would be the most effective means of presentation?
5. Discussion of specific recommendations and other sections of the draft paper.
6. Discussion of further action required.

CONFIDENTIAL